

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

10/791,502	03/02/2004	Fred R. Kramer				
		1 ICG R. Krainer	P32690A USA	1896		
29880 759 FOX ROTHSCHI		9	EXAM	UNER		
PRINCETON PIKE CORPORATE CENTER			PANDE, S	PANDE, SUCHIRA		
2000 Market Stree Tenth Floor	et		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Philadelphia, PA	19103		1637	1637		
			MAIL DATE 04/07/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/791,502
 KRAMER ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 SUCHIRA PANDE
 1637

(2) Teresa Strzelecka.  Date of Interview: 01 April 2009.  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		Examiner	Art Unit	
(1) SUCHIRA PANDE. (2) Teresa Strzelecka.  (4) Gerard Norton.  Date of Interview: 01 April 2009.  Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant 3 ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ Applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐		SUCHIRA PANDE	1637	
(2) Teresa Strzelecka.  Date of Interview: 01 April 2009.  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
Date of Interview: 01 April 2009.  Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed:  Identification of prior art discussed:  Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The prior art cited in OA mailed on October 22, 2008 does not teach coding the beads with molecular beacons. Hence the existing rejections will be withdrawn and new search will be conducted focusing on coding scheme to see if there is any teaching or suggestion in prior art to use coding scheme based on ERET before a patentability decision can be made.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	(1) <u>SUCHIRA PANDE</u> .	(3)Vyacheslav Vasilyev.		
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed:  Identification of prior art discussed:  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) NA.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The prior art cited in OA mailed on October 22, 2008 does not teach coding the beads with molecular beacons. Hence the existing rejections will be withdrawn and new search will be conducted focusing on coding scheme to see if there is any teaching or suggestion in prior art to use coding scheme based on FRET before a patentability decision can be made.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW Submary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	(2) <u>Teresa Strzelecka</u> .	(4) Gerard Norton.		
c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed:  Identification of prior art discussed:  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) NA.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The prior art cited in OA mailed on October 22, 2008 does not teach coding the beads with molecular beacons. Hence the existing rejections will be withdrawn and new search will be conducted focussing on coding scheme to see if there is any teaching or suggestion in prior art to use coding scheme based on FRET before a patentability decision can be made.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already ben filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Date of Interview: 01 April 2009.			
If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed:  Identification of prior art discussed:  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The prior art cited in OA mailed on October 22, 2008 does not teach coding the beads with molecular beacons. Hence the existing rejections will be withdrawn and new search will be conducted focusing on coding scheme to see if there is any teaching or suggestion in prior art to use coding scheme based on FRET before a patentability decision can be made.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]	
Identification of prior art discussed:  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The prior art cited in OA mailed on October 22, 2008 does not teach coding the beads with molecular beacons. Hence the existing rejections will be withdrawn and new search will be conducted focussing on coding scheme to see if there is any teaching or suggestion in prior art to use coding scheme based on FRET before a patentability decision can be made.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		e)□ No.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) NA.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The prior art cited in OA mailed on October 22, 2008 does not teach coding the beads with molecular beacons. Hence the existing rejections will be withdrawn and new search will be conducted focussing on coding scheme to see if there is any teaching or suggestion in prior art to use coding scheme based on FRET before a patentability decision can be made.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Claim(s) discussed:			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The prior art cited in OA mailed on October 22, 2008 does not teach coding the beads with molecular beacons. Hence the existing rejections will be withdrawn and new search will be conducted focussing on coding scheme to see if there is any teaching or suggestion in prior art to use coding scheme based on FRET before a patentability decision can be made.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Identification of prior art discussed:			
reached, or any other comments: The prior art cited in OA mailed on October 22, 2008 does not teach coding the beads with molecular beacons. Hence the existing rejections will be withdrawn and new search will be conducted focussing on coding scheme to see if there is any teaching or suggestion in prior art to use coding scheme based on FRET before a patentability decision can be made.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS PROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g	) was not reached. h) № N	I/A.	
	reached, or any other comments: The prior art cited in OA. beads with molecular beacons. Hence the existing rejection focusing on coding scheme to see if there is any teaching FRET before a patentability decision can be made.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PRIOD OF THE LONGER. INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT	mailed on October 22, 2008 d. is will be withdrawn and new. is will be withdrawn and new. or suggestion in prior art to us ments which the examiner agi oppy of the amendments that w. i.) CTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already ONE MONTH OR THIRT) ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, IS WILLIAM TO THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, IS WILLIAM TO THIRTY ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, IS	ces not teach cosearch will be cose coding scheme reed would rend- rould render the SUBSTANCE ( been filed, APP ( DAYS FROM 1 WHICHEVER IS	ding the nducted e based on er the claims claims  OF THE LICANT IS HIS